


**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



**FILED**

02/15/18  
04:59 PM

Order Instituting Investigation on the  
Commission's Own Motion into the Rates,  
Operations, Practices, Services and Facilities of  
Southern California Edison Company and San  
Diego Gas and Electric Company Associated with  
the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Units  
2 and 3.

And Related Matters.

Investigation 12-10-013  
(Filed October 25, 2012)

Application 13-01-016  
Application 13-03-005  
Application 13-03-013  
Application 13-03-014

**RESPONSE OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 E) TO JOINT  
RULING OF ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE**

Emma D. Salustro  
Attorney for:  
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
8330 Century Park Court, CP32D  
San Diego, CA 92123  
Telephone: (858) 654-1861  
Facsimile: (619) 699-5027  
E-mail: [esalustro@semprautilities.com](mailto:esalustro@semprautilities.com)

February 15, 2018

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Investigation on the  
Commission's Own Motion into the Rates,  
Operations, Practices, Services and Facilities of  
Southern California Edison Company and San  
Diego Gas and Electric Company Associated with  
the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Units  
2 and 3.

Investigation 12-10-013  
(Filed October 25, 2012)

And Related Matters.

Application 13-01-016  
Application 13-03-005  
Application 13-03-013  
Application 13-03-014

**RESPONSE OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902 E) TO JOINT  
RULING OF ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE**

Pursuant to the Joint Ruling of Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge,  
issued on February 6, 2018 ("February 6 Ruling"),<sup>1</sup> San Diego Gas & Electric Company  
("SDG&E") submits this response, complete with the Declaration of Lee Schavrien (provided as  
Attachment A). SDG&E provides the Declaration of Lee Schavrien to comply with the February  
6 Ruling.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Emma D. Salustro

Emma D. Salustro  
Attorney for  
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
8330 Century Park Court, CP32D  
San Diego, CA 92123

---

<sup>1</sup> *Joint Ruling Of Assigned Commissioner And Administrative Law Judge Granting In Part And Denying in Part The Joint Motion Of The Alliance For Nuclear Responsibility, The California Large Energy Consumers Association, California State University, Citizens Oversight, The Coalition Of California Utility Employees, The Direct Access Customer Coalition, Ruth Henricks, The Office Of Ratepayer Advocates, San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902-E), Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E), The Utility Reform Network, And Women's Energy Matters To Stay Proceedings In Investigation 12-10-013 et al.*, (February 6, 2018), at Ruling Paragraph 5.

Telephone: (858) 654-1861  
Facsimile: (619) 699-5027  
E-mail: [esalustro@semprautilities.com](mailto:esalustro@semprautilities.com)

# **ATTACHMENT A**

### **DECLARATION OF LEE SCHAVRIEN**


I, Lee Schavrien, declare as follows:

1. I am Chief Regulatory Officer for San Diego Gas and Electric Company ("SDG&E"). I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration.
2. I submit this declaration in response to the February 6, 2018, Joint Ruling of Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge Granting in Part and Denying in Part the Joint Motion to Stay Proceedings in Investigation 12-10-013 et al. ("Joint Ruling"), as clarified by the February 14, 2018 ruling titled Email Ruling: I.12-10-013 Clarification of Feb[ru]ary 6, 2018 Ruling in Response to Email Sent to ALJ on February 9, 2018 ("February 14 Ruling").
3. The Joint Ruling identifies three agreements: (a) the January 30, 2018, proposed Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement"), (b) the January 10, 2018, Utility Shareholder Agreement between Southern California Edison Company ("SCE") and SDG&E (and our respective parent companies), and (c) the January 30, 2018, Federal Court Agreement, between Plaintiffs in the Federal Court action and SCE.
4. The February 14 Ruling clarifies that the Joint Parties are to identify all agreements, including agreements relating to the mediation process or to litigation of the OII, insofar as those agreements "relate to the proposed settlement agreement, and or have provisions/terms that are contingent upon or make reference to the Commission adopting the proposed settlement." The February 14 Ruling states that agreements that are subject to Rule 12.6 or that have been superseded by the proposed Settlement Agreement or are no longer operative are excluded from this directive, as are the 2014 settlement agreement and its amendment.
5. As part of the mediation process, the Joint Parties entered into the following agreements: (1) an agreement among themselves and with Phillips ADR to retain Phillips ADR to provide mediation services, including financial terms of such retention, (2) an agreement with Phillips ADR regarding the protocols for the mediation process, (3) agreements among themselves and with Phillips ADR regarding confidentiality of the mediation, and (4)

nondisclosure agreements among the Joint Parties pursuant to which certain confidential information was provided in the mediation process. These agreements predated, and therefore necessarily did not refer to the Settlement Agreement, but SDG&E is identifying them out of an abundance of caution. In addition, the Joint Parties had various informal agreements relating to the scheduling of meetings and calls, related logistics, allocation of responsibility for drafting pleadings and agreements, and similar matters that are not contingent upon and do not make reference to the Commission adopting the Settlement Agreement.

6. Other than the agreements identified in paragraphs 3 and 5 above or excluded by the directive as explained in paragraph 4, SDG&E is not aware of any agreement between or among any of the Joint Parties, or between any of the Joint Parties and any third party, that relates to the proposed Settlement Agreement or has provisions/terms that are contingent upon or make reference to the Commission adopting the proposed Settlement Agreement.

I declare pursuant to Rule 1.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed at 8330 Century Park Court, San Diego on February 15, 2018.



Lee Schavrien